



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLI	CATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 09	/602,247	(06/23/2000	Ashok Kuppusamy Seattle 44431/233637(2715)MS#14		9371	
27	488	7590	7590 12/31/2003		. EXAMI	EXAMINER .	
MERCHANT & GOULD					NGUYEN BA, PAUL H		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2176	7		
					DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/602,247	SEATTLE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Paul Nguyen-Ba	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/23/	<u>2000</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
	ion Papers	•						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

- 1. This action is in response to original application as filed on June 23, 2000.
- 2. Claims 1-27 have been considered. Claims 1, 16, 17, 25 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 17, 20, 21, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Razin, U.S. Patent No. 6,125,377.

Independent Claim 1

Razin discloses a system for improving formatting consistency within a document (see Title and Abstract) comprising:

a formatting consistency checker operative for storing a formatting run (see col. 3, lines 28-41; col. 5, lines $1+ \rightarrow$ i.e. Unknown Element Parsing) in association with the location of the formatting run within a document (see col. 11, lines 39-67 to col. 12, lines $1-47 \rightarrow$ titles, sections, subsections, etc.); and

a format checker operative to retrieve run location data from the format consistency checker (see col. 4, lines 5-11; col. 13, lines 49-52 → Linkage Rules Base),

Art Unit: 2176

to determine a minority formatting instance, and to report a formatting inconsistency to a user (see col. 4, lines 31-34; col. 14, lines $45-59 \rightarrow i.e.$ proofreading).

Claim 2

Razin further discloses the system of Claim 1, wherein the formatting consistency checker comprises a data table (see col. 3, lines 2-9 \rightarrow i.e. RAM, etc) to receive a formatting run in association with the location of the formatting run within a document (see col. 11, lines 39-67 to col. 12, lines 1-47).

Claim 3

Razin further discloses the system of Claim 1, wherein the formatting run comprises text within the document sharing one or more similar formatting properties (see col. 5, lines 43-51; col. 13, lines 63-64).

Claim 4

Razin discloses the system of Claim 1, wherein the format checker is further operative to provide the user with an opportunity to modify the formatting inconsistency (see col. 10, lines 28-39; col. 11, lines 2-6; col. 14, lines 45-48 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification).

Claim 5

Razin discloses the system of Claim 1, wherein the format checker is further operative to visually identify a formatting inconsistency to the user (see generally Figure 5B and see col. 3, lines 51-52; col. 4, lines 32-35; col. 14, lines 45-48 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification).

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 6

Razin discloses the system of Claim 1, wherein the format checker comprises a set of consistency rules to compare run location data to and to determine a minority inconsistency (see col. 3, lines 46-67 to col. 4, lines 1-34 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification).

Independent Claim 17

Razin discloses a method for improving formatting consistency within a document comprising the steps of:

determining a formatting run within a document (see col. 3, lines 28-41; col. 5, lines 1+ and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification);

comparing the formatting run to a consistency rule set (see col. 3, lines 46-67 to col. 4, lines 1-34 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification); and determining whether an inconsistency with the consistency rule set exists (see col. 4, lines 31-34; col. 14, lines 45-59 → i.e. proofreading).

Claim 20

Razin discloses the method further comprising the steps of determining whether the suggested case format is accepted by the user; in response to determining that the suggested case format is accepted, reformatting the minority case to the suggested case format (see col. 10, lines 28-39; col. 11, lines 2-6; col. 14, lines 45-48 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification).

Claim 21

Razin further discloses the method wherein the suggested case format is the majority case (see col. 3, lines 45-51; col. 4, lines 13-27 and related discussion found

Art Unit: 2176

elsewhere in the specification) → Interpretation Rules Base first suggests majority case to link with unknown elements before initiating other rules tests.

Claim 23

Razin discloses the method, wherein the majority case comprises a set of formatting properties that is associated with the most formatting runs in the document having an analogous formatting property (see col. 3, lines 53-61 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification \rightarrow i.e. Known Elements).

Claim 24

Razin discloses the method wherein the minority case comprises a set of formatting properties that is inconsistent with the majority case as the inconsistency is defined by the consistency rule set (see col. 3, lines 46-67 to col. 4, lines 1-34 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Razin, U.S. Patent No. 6,125,377, in view of Newbold et al. ("Newbold"), U.S. Patent No. 5,576,955.

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 7

Razin discloses a system for improving formatting consistency within a document comprising: a formatting consistency checker operative for storing a formatting run (see col. 3, lines 28-41; col. 5, lines 1+) in association with the location of the formatting run within a document (see col. 11, lines 39-67 to col. 12, lines 1-47); and a format checker operative to retrieve run location data from the format consistency checker (see col. 4, lines 5-11; col. 13, lines 49-52), to determine a minority formatting instance, and to report a formatting inconsistency to a user (see col. 4, lines 31-34; col. 14, lines 45-59).

Razin does not specifically disclose the system further comprising a formatting pane operative to display a log of all formatting within a document, to display a formatting instance provided by the format checker, and to provide an interface for a user to select a particular formatting instance provided by the format checker.

However, Newbold discloses a formatting pane operative to display a log of all formatting within a document, to display a formatting instance provided by the format checker, and to provide an interface for a user to select a particular formatting instance provided by the format checker for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies (see Abstract, col. 3, lines 63+; Figures 5B, 6A-2, 6B-2, 6C-2, 7A-2, 7B-2, 8B).

Since Razin and Newbold are both from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Fein would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Razin. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Newbold to include a

Art Unit: 2176

formatting pane operative to display a log of all formatting within a document, to display a formatting instance provided by the format checker, and to provide an interface for a user to select a particular formatting instance provided by the format checker for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies.

Claim 8

Razin also does not specifically disclose the system wherein the formatting pane further comprises a submenu operative to modify the formatting of all formatting instances within the document in response to a user entry to the formatting pane.

However, Newbold discloses a submenu operative to modify the formatting of all formatting instances within the document in response to a user entry to the formatting pane for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies (see col. 5, lines 39-44 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification → correct, auto correct).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Newbold to include a submenu operative to modify the formatting of all formatting instances within the document in response to a user entry to the formatting pane for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies.

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 9

Razin also does not specifically disclose the system wherein the formatting pane further comprises a submenu operative to create a new style or set of formatting parameters within a style corresponding to a user selection of a particular formatting instance in the formatting pane.

However, Newbold discloses a submenu operative to creating a set of formatting parameters within a style corresponding to user selection of a particular formatting instance in the formatting pane for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies (see generally Figures; col. 4, lines 34+ → spacing, double word, etc.).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Newbold to include a submenu operative to creating a set of formatting parameters within a style corresponding to user selection of a particular formatting instance in the formatting pane for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies.

Claim 10

Razin also does not specifically disclose the system wherein the formatting pane further comprises a submenu operative to change a set of formatting parameters to a previously defined style in response to a user entry to the formatting pane.

However, Newbold discloses a submenu operative to change a set of formatting parameters to a previously defined style in response to a user entry to the formatting pane

Art Unit: 2176

for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies (see col. 5, lines 36-41 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specifications → CorrectWithText, Ignore).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Newbold to include a submenu operative to change a set of formatting parameters to a previously defined style in response to a user entry to the formatting pane for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies.

Claim 11

Razin also does not specifically disclose the system wherein the formatting pane further comprises a submenu operative to modify formatting runs of a selected formatting pane, and to create a new style having the set of formatting properties corresponding to the modified formatting runs.

However, Newbold discloses a submenu operative to modify formatting runs of a selected formatting pane, and to create a new style having the set of formatting properties corresponding to the modified formatting runs for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies (see col. 5, lines 39-44 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification → Correct, AutoCorrect).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Newbold to

Art Unit: 2176

include a submenu operative to modify formatting runs of a selected formatting pane, and to create a new style having the set of formatting properties corresponding to the modified formatting runs for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies.

Claim 12

Razin also does not specifically disclose the system wherein the formatting pane further comprises a submenu operative to remove a style from a document and to revert to runs previously associated with the deleted style, and further operative to remove a user's entry in the formatting pane and any formatting associated with the entry that has been applied to one or more formatting runs within the document.

However, Newbold discloses a submenu operative to remove formatting from a document and to revert to runs previously associated with the deleted format, and further operative to remove a user's entry in the formatting pane and any formatting associated with the entry that has been applied to one or more formatting runs within the document for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies (see col. 5, lines 39-44 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification → Undo).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Newbold to include a submenu operative to remove a style from a document and to revert to runs previously associated with the deleted styles, and further operative to remove a user's

Art Unit: 2176

entry in the formatting pane and any formatting associated with the entry that has been applied to one or more formatting runs within the document for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies.

Claim 19

Razin discloses the method further comprising the steps of: revealing the minority case or majority case to a user (see generally Figure 5B and see col. 3, lines 51-52; col. 4, lines 32-35; col. 14, lines 45-48 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification), but does not specifically disclose the offering of a suggested case format to the user.

However, Newbold discloses the offering of a suggested case format to the user for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies (see Abstract, col. 3, lines 63+; Figures 5B, 6A-2, 6B-2, 6C-2, 7A-2, 7B-2, 8B).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Newbold to include the offering of a suggested case format to the user for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies.

7. Claims 13, 14, 15, 25, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Razin, U.S. Patent No. 6,125,377, in view of Fein et al. ("Fein"), U.S. Patent No. 6,088,711.

Art Unit: 2176

Claim 13

Razin discloses a system for improving formatting consistency within a document comprising: a formatting consistency checker operative for storing a formatting run (see col. 3, lines 28-41; col. 5, lines 1+) in association with the location of the formatting run within a document (see col. 11, lines 39-67 to col. 12, lines 1-47); and a format checker operative to retrieve run location data from the format consistency checker (see col. 4, lines 5-11; col. 13, lines 49-52), to determine a minority formatting instance, and to report a formatting inconsistency to a user (see col. 4, lines 31-34; col. 14, lines 45-59).

Razin does not specifically disclose the system of further comprising a bullets and numbering component operative to receive a user addition of bullets and/or numbering to the document, to determine whether other instances of bulleting and/or numbering exist in the document, and to identify a majority case of prior instances of bulleting and/or numbering within the document.

However, Fein discloses a system for defining and applying a style to a paragraph (see Title and Abstract) wherein at decision step, it is determined whether all the major formatting properties (i.e. bullet character, number scheme, etc.) match all the major formatting properties of the existing style (see col. 7, lines 52, 55; col. 8 – Table 1) for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

Since Razin and Fein are both from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Fein would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Razin. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Fein to include a numbering

Art Unit: 2176

component operative to receive a user addition of bullets and/or numbering to the document, to determine whether other instances of bulleting and/or numbering exist in the document, and to identify a majority case of prior instances of bulleting and/or numbering within the document for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

Claim 14

Razin also does not specifically disclose the system wherein the bullets and numbering component is further operative for applying the majority case of bulleting and/or numbering to the user addition of bullets and/or numbering in the document.

However, Fein discloses a system for defining and applying a style to a paragraph (see Title and Abstract) wherein at decision step, *if* it is determined whether all the major formatting properties (i.e. bullet character, number scheme, etc.) match all the major formatting properties of the existing style (see col. 7, lines 52, 55; col. 8 – Table 1), then the system applies the majority case of the matching existing style (see Figure 2A; col. 8, lines 52+) for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Fein to include a numbering component operative for applying the majority case of bulleting and/or numbering to the user addition of bullets and/or numbering in the document for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

Claim 15

Razin also does not specifically disclose the system wherein the bullets and numbering component is further operative for applying a predefined default format of

Art Unit: 2176

bulleting and/or numbering to the user addition of bullets and/or numbering in the document.

However, Fein discloses a system for defining and applying a style to a paragraph (see Title and Abstract) wherein at decision step, it is determined whether to apply the predefined default format of bullet characters and/or number schemes to match all the formatting properties of the existing style (see col. 7, lines 52, 55; col. 8 – Table 1; Figure 2A; col. 8, lines 52+) for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Fein to include a numbering component operative for applying a predefined default format of bulleting and/or numbering to the user addition of bullets and/or numbering in the document for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

Independent Claim 25

With respect to independent method claim 25, refer to the rationale relied upon to reject system claim 13.

Claim 26

Razin discloses a method for improving formatting consistency within a document comprising the steps of: determining a formatting run within a document (see col. 3, lines 28-41; col. 5, lines 1+ and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification); comparing the formatting run to a consistency rule set (see col. 3, lines 46-67 to col. 4, lines 1-34 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification); determining whether an inconsistency with the consistency rule set exists (see col. 4, lines 31-34; col.

Art Unit: 2176

14, lines $45-59 \rightarrow i.e.$ proofreading) and wherein the minority case comprises a set of formatting properties that is inconsistent with the majority case as the inconsistency is defined by the consistency rule set (see col. 3, lines 46-67 to col. 4, lines 1-34 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification).

Razin does not specifically disclose applying the majority case of bulleting and/or numbering within the document to the user selection for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

However, with respect to dependent method claim 26, refer to the rationale relied upon to reject system claim 14.

Claim 27

Razin discloses a method for improving formatting consistency within a document comprising the steps of: determining a formatting run within a document (see col. 3, lines 28-41; col. 5, lines 1+ and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification); comparing the formatting run to a consistency rule set (see col. 3, lines 46-67 to col. 4, lines 1-34 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification); determining whether an inconsistency with the consistency rule set exists (see col. 4, lines 31-34; col. 14, lines 45-59 \rightarrow i.e. proofreading) and wherein the minority case comprises a set of formatting properties that is inconsistent with the majority case as the inconsistency is defined by the consistency rule set (see col. 3, lines 46-67 to col. 4, lines 1-34 and related discussion found elsewhere in the specification).

Razin does not specifically disclose applying a default format of bullets and/or numbering to the user selection within the document.

Art Unit: 2176

However, with respect to dependent method claim 27, refer to the rationale relied upon to reject system claim 15.

8. Claim 16, 18, and 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Razin, U.S. Patent No. 6,125,377, in view of Newbold et al. ("Newbold"), U.S. Patent No. 5,576,955, and in further view of Fein et al. ("Fein"), U.S. Patent No. 6,088,711.

Independent Claim 16

Razin discloses a system for improving formatting consistency within a document comprising: a formatting consistency checker operative for storing a formatting run (see col. 3, lines 28-41; col. 5, lines 1+) in association with the location of the formatting run within a document (see col. 11, lines 39-67 to col. 12, lines 1-47); and a format checker in communication with the format consistency checker, operative to retrieve run location data from the format consistency checker (see col. 4, lines 5-11; col. 13, lines 49-52), to determine a minority formatting instance, and to report a formatting inconsistency to a user (see col. 4, lines 31-34; col. 14, lines 45-59).

Razin does not specifically disclose a formatting pane in communication with the format consistency checker, operative to provide an accessible log of all formatting within the document, and further operative to provide a user interface for a user to input a format selection to the document and Razin does not specifically disclose a bullets and numbering component in communication with the format consistency checker, operative to determine a majority case for bullets and/or numbering formatting previously applied

Art Unit: 2176

in the document, and further operative to display the majority case of bullets and/or numbering formatting to the user.

However, Newbold discloses a formatting pane operative to display a log of all formatting within a document, to display a formatting instance provided by the format checker, and to provide an interface for a user to select a particular formatting instance provided by the format checker for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the group of inconsistencies (see Abstract, col. 3, lines 63+; Figures 5B, 6A-2, 6B-2, 6C-2, 7A-2, 7B-2, 8B).

Fein discloses a system for defining and applying a style to a paragraph (see Title and Abstract) wherein at decision step, it is determined whether all the major formatting properties (i.e. bullet character, number scheme, etc.) match all the major formatting properties of the existing style (see col. 7, lines 52, 55; col. 8 – Table 1) for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

Since Razin, Newbold, and Fein are all from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Fein and Newbold would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Razin. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Newbold to include a formatting pane operative to display a log of all formatting within a document, to display a formatting instance provided by the format checker, and to provide an interface for a user to select a particular formatting instance provided by the format checker for the purpose of viewing inconsistencies as a list, to group the inconsistencies, and to perform formatting consistency functions collectively on the

Art Unit: 2176

group of inconsistencies and it would also have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Razin with the teachings of Fein to include a numbering component operative to receive a user addition of bullets and/or numbering to the document, to determine whether other instances of bulleting and/or numbering exist in the document, and to identify a majority case of prior instances of bulleting and/or numbering within the document for the purpose of improving format consistency within a document.

Claim 18

Razin also discloses the method further comprising the step of: in response to determining that an inconsistency exists, identifying a minority case or a majority case (see col. 4, lines 12-26 → checks to see of known elements are consistent with majority case; if not, elements are deemed minority case).

Claim 22

Razin also discloses the method wherein the formatting runs comprise text sharing the same formatting attributes (see col. 5, lines 43-51; col. 13, lines 63-64).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (703) 305-8776. The examiner can normally be reached from 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

PNB

JOSEPH H. FEILD PRIMARY EXAMINE